Heavy quark-antiquark free energy and thermodynamics of string-hadron avoided crossings

E. Megías,[1,*] E. Ruiz Arriola,[2,†] and L. L. Salcedo[2,‡]

[1] *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 Munich, Germany*
[2] *Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain.*

(Dated: November 21, 2016)

The correlation function between two Polyakov loops encodes the free-energy shift due to a pair of separated colour conjugated sources in the hot QCD medium. This is analyzed in terms of a novel Källén-Lehmann spectral representation for the separating distance, implying an increasing and concave free-energy at all temperatures. We express the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free-energy shift below the phase transition in QCD in terms of colour neutral purely hadronic states with no explicit reference to quarks and gluons. Good agreement with lattice data is achieved when considering the avoided crossing mechanism underlying string breaking and with standard quenched values of the string tension known from charmonium and bottomonium phenomenology. We also address the role of the corresponding entropy shift and its renormalization group properties.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx 11.15.-q 11.10.Jj 12.38.Lg
Keywords: finite temperature; heavy quarks; free-energy; Polyakov Loop

arXiv:1603.04642v3 [hep-ph] 18 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Long before the advent of QCD the thermodynamic and hydrodynamic interpretation of high energy collisions in terms of hadrons was envisaged after the pioneering works of Fermi [1] and Landau [2] (for a review see e.g. [3]). A major inspiring breakthrough came about when Hagedorn found that purely hadronic matter forming a hadron resonance gas (HRG) has an exponentially growing level density implying the existence of a hadronic limiting temperature [4] of about $T_H = 150\,\mathrm{MeV}$ (see [5] for an upgrade and [6] for a historical overview). According to the quantum virial expansion this corresponds to a weakly interacting multicomponent gas of resonances [7]. The discovery of asymptotic freedom and QCD in terms of quarks and gluons carrying experimentally elusive colour degrees of freedom triggered an intensive research on the phase structure over the last half a century and has motivated a dedicated experimental effort at SPS, RHIC and LHC facilities within the heavy ions collisions traveling at almost the speed of light [3]. Lattice calculations provide direct access to the equation of state (EoS) and a strong evidence that a crossover between a hadron gas and a quark-gluon liquid phase takes place at a temperature of about 150 MeV [8]. The final consensus on the EoS has only been achieved recently buttressing in passing the venerable HRG in the subcritical region (see e.g. [9, 10] for reviews) and promoting this model as a canonical comparison tool for lattice calculations monitoring non-hadronic effects [11, 12]. Besides, modern hydrodynamical descriptions of ultrarelativistic heavy ions provide in a unified way the formation of the fireball as well as the subsequent evolution process just in terms of the EoS in the gas-liquid crossover interregnum [3].

In a non-abelian gauge theory such as QCD one can meaningfully address specific information on quark and gluon colour charges and their interactions in the hot medium beyond the EoS which could not be posed in the pre-QCD times. Nonetheless, we expect that any physical observable can still be described in terms of manifestly colour singlet hadronic states below the phase transition. We have recently shown this quark-hadron duality at finite temperature for the quark self-energy via the renormalized Polyakov loop [13] using single heavy meson charm and bottom excited spectra (see also [14]). (See [15] for higher representations and [16] for a pedagogical discussion.) In this letter we verify that the quark-antiquark free-energy can be represented, as naively expected, via purely hadronic states and a single bosonic string potential, with no explicit reference to quarks and gluons. This requires a non-trivial level avoided crossing structure in the heavy-light meson-antimeson spectrum and is done in harmony with a new Laplace-Stietjels spectral representation of the Polyakov correlator, whence concavity properties of the free-energy at any temperature can be trivially deduced. Some motivation for the present work has been presented previously in [17, 18].

The quark-antiquark free energy is ambiguous by a constant which can be fixed at a reference temperature and relative distance between the heavy sources. On the contrary both the entropy shift and the specific heat shift are unambiguous, and one expects them to fulfill renormalization group invariance. In a short note we have profited from this view to display the entropy shift due to a single heavy source based on integrating the specific heat with suitable boundary conditions [19]. In the present work we extend such an analysis to the corresponding quark-antiquark situation and show that more information than the specific heat is needed in order to reconstruct the free energy.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section II we present a derivation of the Källen-Lehman representation for the free energy of two colour charged conjugated sources. This allows to easily deduce convexity properties for the free energy. In

*Electronic address: emegias@mppmu.mpg.de
†Electronic address: earriola@ugr.es
‡Electronic address: salcedo@ugr.es

Section III we analyze the renormalization group aspects of free energy, entropy and specific heat and illustrate the constraints for the case of a medium and the modifications following the addition of a colour source and its charge conjugated source at a given separation distance. In Section IV we analyze the avoided crossing structure of the spectrum made of a string and pairs of heavy-light, $\bar{q}Q$ and singly heavy baryons Qqq and the corresponding partition function containing the Hadron Resonance Gas and a string. We highlight the important role played by the string-hadron transition on the light of a comparison with available recent lattice data for the Polyakov loop correlator. Finally in Section V we summarize our points and come to the conclusions.

II. KÄLLÉN-LEHMANN SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION FOR THE CORRELATION OF TWO POLYAKOV LOOPS

When two heavy colour charge conjugated sources belonging to the representations R and $\bar{R}$ of the colour gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(N_c)$ are created and placed at a given distance in the hot medium, there arises a free-energy which provides the maximum work the system can exchange with the medium at a fixed temperature. McLerran and Svetitsky suggested 35 years ago to explore these free-energies for the fundamental representation as suitable order parameters for a hadronic-quark gluon plasma phase transition [20, 21] (for an early review see e.g. [22]).

A. Standard scheme

The obvious approach to the heavy quark-antiquark free-energy is to consider a compactified Euclidean time to include the finite temperature, so the three coordinates x, y, z are spatial ones and t is time-like (see Fig. 1, left). Denoting Z_0 the partition function of the system without sources, $Z_{R\otimes\bar{R}}$ the partition function with heavy sources a distance r apart, and C the correlation function between Polyakov loops,

$$C(r,T) = \langle \mathrm{tr}_R \Omega(r) \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{R}} \Omega(0) \rangle_T = \frac{Z_{R\otimes\bar{R}}(r,T)}{Z_0(T)}, \qquad (1)$$

where the Polyakov loop, $\Omega = \mathscr{P} e^{i\int_0^{1/T} g A_0 dt}$, is a purely gluonic operator, $\mathrm{tr}_R(1) = \dim R$, and $\langle\ \rangle_T$ is the thermal expectation value. Correspondingly, for the shift in the free-energy

$$F(r,T) \equiv F_{R\otimes\bar{R}}(r,T) - F_0(T) = -T \log C(r,T). \qquad (2)$$

Cluster decomposition and translational invariance requires factorization (using also colour charge conjugation $\langle \mathrm{tr}_R \Omega \rangle_T = \langle \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{R}} \Omega \rangle_T$)

$$C(\infty,T) = \langle \mathrm{tr}_R \Omega \rangle_T^2 = e^{-2F(T)/T} \qquad (3)$$

where $F(T)$ is the shift in the free-energy produced by introducing a single heavy charge.



FIG. 1: Two schemes for $\langle \mathrm{tr}(\Omega(r)) \mathrm{tr}(\Omega^\dagger(0)) \rangle$. In both cases the Hamiltonian evolves in the vertical direction.

To avoid paradoxes it is important to note that, unlike $F_{R\otimes\bar{R}}(r,T)$ and $F_0(T)$, the shift $F(r,T)$ is not a true free-energy. This quantity is not extensive and thermodynamic stability does not require the entropy shift

$$S(r,T) = -\frac{\partial F(r,T)}{\partial T}, \qquad (4)$$

to be an increasing function of the temperature. Likewise, $C(r,T)$ is not a true partition function (i.e., a sum of states with non negative integer degeneracies) but rather the ratio of two partition functions [23]. Nevertheless, by the same arguments given in [13, 15] for the Polyakov loop, this ratio should behave, to a good approximation, like a partition function for temperatures below the crossover, that is,

$$C(r,T) \approx \sum_n g_n e^{-E_n(r)/T} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \rho(E,r) e^{-E/T}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\rho(E,r)$ is non negative. This implies that standard thermodynamic relations apply to $F(r,T)$ in this low temperature regime. Similar remarks apply for $\langle \mathrm{tr}_R \Omega \rangle$ and $F(T)$ [13, 15].

B. Alternative scheme

Additional information can be obtained from the alternative point of view in which x, y, t are regarded as spatial coordinates and z as Euclidean time (see Fig. 1, right). In this picture $\beta = 1/T$ (the inverse physical temperature) is the size of the system in the spatial t direction. The size remains infinite in the x and y directions. The system is described by a Hamiltonian $H_z(T)$ which produces the evolution in the z direction and depends on T. Since the size in the z direction is unbounded the effective temperature is zero. Therefore, in this picture, the expectation values are taken in the vacuum state of $H_z(T)$, which we denote $|0;T\rangle$.

To carry out a canonical treatment, a convenient choice is the static gauge $\partial_t A_t = 0$ (where A_t is the gluon gauge field in the t direction). This leaves the Polyakov loop in the t direction, $\Omega(x,y)$, together with $A_{x,y,z}$ and q, $\bar{q}$, as degrees of freedom. Ω acts in the Hilbert space as a multiplicative operator. In this view the expectation value of two Polyakov loops,

one at $x=y=z=0$ and the other at $x=y=0$, and a later time $z=r$, represents the action of the operator $\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega^\dagger$ (at $x,y=0$) on the vacuum $|0,T\rangle$ at time $z=0$ followed by evolution to time $z=r$ to connect again with $\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega^\dagger|0,T\rangle$

$$C(r,T)=\langle 0,T|\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega\, e^{-rH_z(T)}\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega^\dagger|0,T\rangle. \tag{6}$$

This allows to establish an exact spectral representation valid for any representation R and any temperature, by inserting a complete set of eigenstates of H_z, $H_z|n,T\rangle=w_n(T)|n,T\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(r,T)&=\sum_n |\langle n,T|\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega^\dagger|0,T\rangle|^2\, e^{-rw_n(T)}\\ &:=\int_0^\infty dw\,\tau_1(w,T)\,e^{-rw}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The density of states excited by the Polyakov loop, $\tau_1(w,T)$, is a non-negative function with support on $w\geq 0$,[1] so Eq. (7) is a Laplace-Stietjels transformation [24].

The sum rule naturally splits into its r-independent disconnected component, coming from the vacuum term (i.e., the term $n=0$ in the sum), and the connected component from the excited states:

$$C(r,T)=L(T)^2+C_c(r,T),\quad L(T)\equiv\langle \mathrm{tr}_R\Omega\rangle_T. \tag{8}$$

The disconnected component yields a contribution $L(T)^2\,\delta(w)$ in $\tau_1(w,T)$.

In addition to the usual scheme $(x,y,z;t)$ and the one considered here, $(x,y,t;z)$, a third scheme would be $(x,z,t;y)$ y being now the time direction. In this case $C(r,T)$ is just the expectation value of an operator, $\mathrm{Tr}_F\Omega(r)\mathrm{Tr}_F\Omega^\dagger(0)$, acting at time $y=0$ on the vacuum $|0,T\rangle$ (the same vacuum as in the $(x,z,t;y)$ scheme). No excited states are involved in this case.

C. Convexity properties of the free energy

An interesting consequence of the spectral relation (7) comes from the fact that $\tau_1(w,T)$ is positive and has positive support. This automatically implies that $C(r,T)$ is an absolutely monotonic function with respect to r, that is,

$$(-1)^n\frac{\partial^n C(r,T)}{\partial r^n}\geq 0,\quad n=0,1,2,\ldots \tag{9}$$

Further bounds on the free-energy can be derived by rewriting these inequalities as expectation values with $\tau_1(w,T)e^{-rw}$ as measure:

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{C}\frac{\partial^n C}{\partial r^n}=\frac{1}{C}\int_0^\infty dw\,\tau_1 e^{-rw}w^n\equiv\langle w^n\rangle. \tag{10}$$

In this view $\langle w^n\rangle\geq 0$ because both w and the measure are non negative. For positive r, the measure is exponentially convergent at large w, since that regime of energies is dominated by the Coulomb interaction between the two conjugated charges (see below).

Letting $f\equiv F(r,T)/T=-\log C$, such inequalities imply,

$$f'=\langle w\rangle\geq 0,\quad f'^2-f''=\langle w^2\rangle\geq 0,\ldots \tag{11}$$

The prime denotes derivative with respect to r. Tighter bounds can be obtained by using optimized positive polynomials.[2] Specifically

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w\rangle&=f'\geq 0,\\ \langle (w-\langle w\rangle)^2\rangle&=-f''\geq 0\\ \langle w(w-\langle w\rangle)^2\rangle&=f'''-f'f''\geq 0\\ \langle (w^2-2\langle w\rangle w+2\langle w\rangle^2-\langle w^2\rangle)^2\rangle&=2f''^2-f^{(iv)}\geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

These inequalities hold for all (r,T). The first two relations imply

$$\frac{\partial F(r,T)}{\partial r}\geq 0,\qquad \frac{\partial^2 F(r,T)}{\partial r^2}\leq 0. \tag{13}$$

An immediate consequence is that the zero temperature heavy quark-antiquark potential $V(r)=F(r,0)$ must be an increasing and concave function of r,

$$V'(r)\geq 0,\qquad V''(r)\leq 0, \tag{14}$$

a result previously established from reflection positivity [25, 26]. A similar representation to ours on the lattice was proposed long ago [27] although the important new implications discussed here were not addressed. A further property from the Laplace-Stietjels representation is that the analytical continuation to the complex plane r has no singularities for $\mathrm{Re}(r)>0$.

D. Källén-Lehmann spectral representation

The spectral relation in Eq. (7) can be improved by taking into account full translational and rotational invariances in the three non-compactified directions. Indeed, up to now we have only considered expectation values of e^{-rH_z} in a single state namely, $\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega^\dagger(\mathbf{0})|0\rangle$ (here $\mathbf{0}$ is the origin of the (x,y) plane), however, one can take more general states of the type $|\psi\rangle=\sum_i\psi_i\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_i)|0\rangle$ and still $\langle\psi|e^{-zH_z}|\psi\rangle$ must be positive for any choice of the coefficients ψ_i. Equivalently, the function

$$h(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2;z)\equiv\langle 0|\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega(\mathbf{r}_1)e^{-zH_z}\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2)|0\rangle,\quad z\geq 0, \tag{15}$$

provides the matrix elements of a certain positive operator $\mathscr{O}(z)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$h(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2;z)=\langle\mathbf{r}_1|\mathscr{O}(z)|\mathbf{r}_1\rangle, \tag{16}$$

[1] Note that the vacuum has zero energy, since replacing $\mathrm{Tr}_R\Omega^\dagger$ by the identity operator would yield $C=1$ for all r.

[2] The coefficients of the polynomials have been chosen so as to remove higher powers of $1/T$, i.e., monomials with many f's. The coefficients in front of $f'f''$ and f''^2 cannot be reduced since in that case the expressions would not be positive definite for $C(r,T)=1+ae^{-r}$ and arbitrary positive a.

and furthermore

$$h = h(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^2 + z^2). \tag{17}$$

In view of the translational invariance, the simplest way to impose the positivity condition on $\mathscr{O}(z)$ is to work in momentum space where this operator is diagonal. The details of the derivation are given in Appendix A. One finds a tighter exact spectral representation for the connected component of $C(r,T)$

$$C_c(r,T) = \int_0^\infty d\mu\, \tau(\mu,T) \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{4\pi r}, \tag{18}$$

for some non-negative density $\tau(\mu,T)$. This is nothing else than the usual Källén-Lehmann spectral representation but in three dimensions: $\mathrm{tr}_R \Omega(x,y,z)$ behaves like an ordinary scalar field at zero temperature in a three dimensional space-time with Lorentz invariance. As it turns out, a formula equivalent to the one derived here was already noted in [28] in the context of Yang-Mills theory with unbroken center symmetry.

The new spectral relation contains the previous one, Eq. (7), through the relation

$$\tau_1(w,T) = L(T)^2 \delta(w) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^w d\mu\, \tau(\mu,T). \tag{19}$$

As a consequence we learn that the connected component of $\tau_1(w,T)$ is not only positive but also an increasing function of w. Also it is important to note that w is an energy while μ is an invariant mass, thus the support of $\tau(\mu,T)$ may have a discrete part below the two particle threshold.

When the Polyakov loop is not present, $L(T) = 0$ (e.g., Yang-Mills in the confined phase) it is easy to derive tighter conditions on the free energy shift from the new representation in Eq. (18), since then $rC(r,T)$ has all the properties previously exploited for $C(r,T)$. So in this case, again letting $f = -\log(C)$, it follows that $f' \geq 1/r$, $-f'' \geq 1/r^2$, $f''' - f' f'' \geq 3/r^3$, etc. In particular,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \geq \frac{T}{r}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial r^2} \leq -\frac{T}{r^2} \qquad (L(T) = 0). \tag{20}$$

The first relation implies that, at finite temperature, $F(r,T)$ must increase at least logarithmically (namely, as $T\log(r)$) with the separation, as a direct consequence of the factor $1/(4\pi r)$ in the sum rule, whenever the Polyakov loop vanishes.

The determination of the invariant-mass spectral density $\tau(\mu,T)$ seems of interest since this quantity contains much condensed information on the system composed of two heavy conjugated charges at finite temperature. As it turns out, such determination can be carried out for in some simple cases. A first case is

$$F(r,T) = -\frac{\alpha}{r} \tag{21}$$

which should describe quenched QED (a free theory) and entails $L(T) = 1$ at all temperatures. Let

$$G_n(x) \equiv \frac{I_n(2\sqrt{x})}{x^{n/2}} = \frac{{}_0F_1(n+1;x)}{n!} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{x^k}{k!(n+k)!}. \tag{22}$$

Here $I_n(x)$ is the modified Bessel function and ${}_0F_1(n;x)$ is the confluent hypergeometric functions. The functions $G_n(x)$ are positive for positive x and grow as $e^{2\sqrt{x}}$ for large x. In addition, $G_n'(x) = G_{n+1}(x)$. We rely on the identity

$$\int_0^\infty dx\, G_1(x) e^{-rx} = e^{1/r} - 1 \qquad (r > 0). \tag{23}$$

The correlation function $C(r,T) = e^{\alpha/(rT)}$ follows from

$$\tau_1(w,T) = \delta(w) + \frac{\alpha}{T} G_1\Big(\frac{\alpha}{T} w\Big)\, \theta(w). \tag{24}$$

Here $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. In turn,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu,T) &= 4\pi \frac{d\tau_{1,c}(\mu,T)}{d\mu} \\ &= 4\pi \frac{\alpha^2}{T^2} G_2\Big(\frac{\alpha}{T}\mu\Big)\, \theta(\mu) + 4\pi \frac{\alpha}{T} \delta(\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In Eq. (24), $\delta(w)$ gives rise to the Polyakov loop, while in Eq. (25), $\delta(\mu)$ accounts for the additional $1/r$ falloff in the connected part of $C(r,T)$ for large separations.

Another case where the spectral function takes a closed form is that of a simple model of the type string tension plus Coulomb. Specifically, for the internal energy shift

$$U(r,T) = \sigma_R r - \frac{\alpha_R}{r} + U_0, \tag{26}$$

where U_0 is a constant. This form of $U(r,T)$ is suited to model the low temperature regime of a Yang-Mills theory. The thermodynamic relations require then the entropy shift $S(r,T)$ to be a function of r only. The choice

$$S(r,T) = -\log(4\pi r \mu_0) \tag{27}$$

allows to fulfill the spectral representation, μ_0 being an arbitrary scale. The corresponding correlation function takes the form

$$C(r,T) = \frac{1}{4\pi r \mu_0} e^{-(\sigma_R r - \alpha_R/r + U_0)/T}. \tag{28}$$

In this model $L(T) = 0$. Such correlation then follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu,T) = \frac{e^{-U_0/T}}{\mu_0} \Big[&\delta\Big(\mu - \frac{\sigma_R}{T}\Big) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_R}{T} G_1\Big(\frac{\alpha_R}{T}\Big(\mu - \frac{\sigma_R}{T}\Big)\Big)\, \theta\Big(\mu - \frac{\sigma_R}{T}\Big)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

A Polyakov loop can be added in the correlation function without touching $\tau(\mu,T)$ but in this case $U(r,T)$ and $S(r,T)$ are no longer given by the previous expressions.

In Eq. (29), the Dirac delta term represents the mass of the lowest-lying state, a string of length $1/T$, which dominates the long distance tail of the correlation in the string tension plus Coulomb model. On the other hand, the Coulomb-induced term increases as an exponential of $\sqrt{\mu}$ and it should saturate the large μ region of $\tau(\mu,T)$ of full QCD, albeit softened by asymptotic freedom. This behaviour ensures the convergence of the measure $\tau(\mu,T)e^{-r\mu}$ at large μ for $r > 0$.

In Yang-Mills theory one should expect a gap in the μ mass spectrum below the transition temperature, giving rise to a string tension. Indeed, a gap in the spectrum above the vacuum state makes the integration in the spectral sum rule to start at the mass $\mu_1 > 0$ of the lightest state and this term dominates the large r behaviour of the correlation function. Assuming that μ_1 is an isolated non vanishing point in the spectrum (the lightest state is expected to be a single particle state) one finds, for large r,

$$C(r,T) \underset{r\to\infty}{\approx} C_0(T)\frac{e^{-\mu_1(T)r}}{4\pi r} \tag{30}$$

and the quantity $T\mu_1(T)$ can be interpreted as a (possibly T-dependent) string tension. An increase in T should translate into a continuous quenching of the gap which becomes zero at the transition temperature. Above this temperature $\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega^\dagger|0,T\rangle$ couples to the vacuum state and a non vanishing Polyakov loop expectation value emerges.

If there were a gap also in full QCD one would have instead

$$C(r,T) \underset{r\to\infty}{\approx} C_0(T)\frac{e^{-\mu_1(T)r}}{4\pi r} + L(T)^2 \tag{31}$$

but still $T\mu_1(T)$ could be interpreted as a (once again T-dependent) string tension. The dominance of the Polyakov loop at large r would display the string breaking.

However, a gapless spectrum seems more likely for full QCD. A vanishing gap is more suited to describe the deconfined phase due to the lack of a string tension there. Then by continuity the gap must be zero for all temperatures, since in QCD there is a crossover rather of a true phase transition [8]. Also the fact that $L(T)$ is non zero for all temperatures and representations suggests a vanishing gap. Nevertheless, even if strictly speaking, the support of the spectral function $\tau(\mu,T)$ fills the positive half-line, it is not excluded that, in the confined phase, this function have a narrow peak around some $\mu_1(T)$. In this case one can speculate that a precise definition of a QCD string-tension could still be obtained as a pole in the μ complex plane.

III. RENORMALIZATION GROUP

A. Renormalization of the free energy

In the extraction of physical information from lattice calculations the renormalization of the free-energy is a crucial issue. At distances shorter than the thermal wavelength, $rT \ll 1$, one expects that the medium plays a minor role and, due to asymptotic freedom, perturbative QCD applies [29, 30]. This requirement has been emphasized in a series of insightful works [31, 32]. A limitation is that the necessary small values of r might not be attainable in current lattice settings. More recently, a full calculation with realistic dynamical quark masses has been carried out for fundamental sources [33, 34]. There, the lattice action is renormalized at zero temperature, i.e., by following lines of constant physics. The only new ultraviolet ambiguity introduced by the Polyakov loop operators is a single r- and T- independent (although R-dependent) additive constant in $F(r,T)$, i.e. $F(r,T) \to F(r,T)+c$, which in principle can be fixed by setting $F(r_0,T_0) = F_0$ for conventionally chosen r_0, T_0, and F_0.

We will review below the renormalization group equation (RGE) for the vacuum case [35, 36] and the heavy quark situation [37]. Our reanalysis is based on the entropy and introduces some minor but key modifications. The upshot is that while one can determine the entropy shift from the RGE in the vacuum and single heavy quark case, one cannot do the same in the case of heavy quark-antiquark sources separated a given distance.

B. Renormalization group for the entropy

1. Medium

While the entropy or the free energy are of high theoretical interest, experimentally one can only measure the specific heat. Thus we naturally expect that this quantity be expressed in a manifestly renormalization group invariant way. To fully appreciate this point let us consider the simplest case, of a pure gauge theory which is specified by a renormalization scale μ and a dimensionless coupling constant $g(\mu)$, at temperature T and volume V. The partition function Z and its relation to the free energy F is defined as

$$Z = e^{-F/T} = \int DA e^{-\int d^4x \mathscr{L}} \tag{32}$$

where the Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{4}(G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 . \tag{33}$$

From the standard thermodynamic relation we have the entropy

$$S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T}(T\log Z). \tag{34}$$

As any dimensionless quantity, the entropy $S(T,V)$ must fulfill a functional dependence involving just dimensionless quantities. If we take g, μ, T and V as the relevant variables we have

$$S(g,\mu,T,V) = \phi(g,\log(\mu/T),\log(\mu V^{\frac{1}{3}})). \tag{35}$$

The renormalization group invariance means that

$$\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}S(g,\mu,T,V) = 0 \tag{36}$$

whence we obtain

$$T\partial_T S - 3V\partial_V S = \beta(g)\partial_g S, \tag{37}$$

where the beta function is defined as

$$\beta(g) = \mu\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu}. \tag{38}$$

To evaluate the derivative with respect to g we rescale the gluon field $\bar{A} = gA$ so that the measure and the action scale as

$$DA = D\bar{A}/g^N, \qquad \mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{4g^2}(\bar{G}^a_{\mu\nu})^2, \tag{39}$$

with N the number of lattice points $N = N_t \times N_s^3 = V/T/a^4$ with a the lattice spacing, and $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \bar{A}_\mu + i[\bar{A}_\mu, \bar{A}_\nu]$ is independent of g. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_g S &= \partial_T (T \partial_g \log Z) = \partial_T \left(T \frac{V}{Ta^4} + \frac{2}{g^3} V \langle (\bar{G}^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \rangle_T \right) \\ &= \frac{V}{2g} \partial_T \langle (G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \rangle_T .\end{aligned}$$

Defining the trace of the energy momentum tensor as

$$\Theta = \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} (G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \tag{40}$$

we get finally

$$\partial_T (E - 3PV) = \partial_T \left[T \int d^4x \langle \Theta \rangle_T \right]. \tag{41}$$

In the case of infinite volume we just get the energy density $\varepsilon = E/V$ and $T \int d^4x = \int d^3x = V$ and the volume factors out

$$\partial_T (\varepsilon - 3P) = \partial_T \langle \Theta \rangle_T . \tag{42}$$

Therefore, integrating from 0 to T one gets

$$\varepsilon - 3P = \langle \Theta \rangle_T - \langle \Theta \rangle_0, \tag{43}$$

where we have assumed that $E, P \to 0$ for $T \to 0$. This follows from the low temperature partition function behaviour $O(e^{-m_G/T})$ where m_G the mass of the lightest glueball. The derivation of Ref. [35, 36] starts already with the partition function in terms of the scaled fields $\bar{A}$ and thus the final equation does not include the subtracted contribution at zero temperature, which has to be added by hand (see also [37]).

In the full QCD case we have to add quark and anti-quark fields

$$Z = \int DAD\bar{q}Dq\, e^{-\int d^4x \mathscr{L}(x)} = e^{-F/T}, \tag{44}$$

where the QCD Lagrangian for $N_f = 3$ flavours u, d, s reads, in terms of the re-scaled gluon field $A_\mu = \sum_a A^a_\mu T_a$ with $\mathrm{tr}(T_a T_b) = \delta_{a,b}/2$ and $\bar{A}^a_\mu = g A^a_\mu$,

$$\mathscr{L}(x) = \frac{1}{4g^2} (\bar{G}^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q}(i\not{D} + m_q) q. \tag{45}$$

Renormalization group invariance requires the inclusion of the mass terms by assuming an extra dependence on the dimensionless variable $\log(\mu/m_q(\mu))$, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}\mu \frac{dS}{d\mu} &= \beta(g) \frac{\partial S}{\partial g} - \sum_q m_q (1 + \gamma_q) \frac{\partial S}{\partial m_q} - T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} + 3V \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

with the beta function and the mass anomalous dimension given by

$$\beta(g) = \mu \frac{dg}{d\mu}, \qquad \gamma_q(g) = -\frac{d \log m_q}{d \log \mu}. \tag{47}$$

We obtain the same formulas as above with the energy momentum tensor defined as

$$\Theta \equiv \Theta^\mu_\mu = \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} (G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \sum_q m_q (1 + \gamma_q) \bar{q} q. \tag{48}$$

Here, in the low temperature limit E and P are saturated by the free pion gas which again provides a vanishing contribution of the type $O(e^{-m_\pi/T})$.

2. *Heavy Source*

For an operator $\mathscr{O}$ the thermal expectation value is defined as

$$\langle \mathscr{O} \rangle_T = \frac{\int DA\, \mathscr{O}\, e^{-\int d^4x \mathscr{L}(x)}}{\int DA\, e^{-\int d^4x \mathscr{L}(x)}}. \tag{49}$$

A qualification is in order here. Generally, not every thermal expectation value corresponds to a ratio of partition functions. Strictly speaking a partition function requires a spectral decomposition of the form $Z = \sum_n g_n e^{-E_n/T}$ where g_n is a non-negative integer. We will only consider operators which indeed correspond to partition functions (in particular $\mathscr{O}$ must be a dimensionless projector operator)

$$\frac{Z_{\mathscr{O}}}{Z} = e^{-\Delta F_{\mathscr{O}}/T} \tag{50}$$

where we have introduced the free energy shift,

$$\Delta F_{\mathscr{O}} = F_{\mathscr{O}} - F. \tag{51}$$

To this free energy shift there corresponds an entropy shift,

$$\Delta S_{\mathscr{O}} = -\frac{\partial \Delta F_{\mathscr{O}}}{\partial T}. \tag{52}$$

The renormalization group equation becomes

$$T \partial_T \Delta S_{\mathscr{O}} - 3V \partial_V \Delta S_{\mathscr{O}} = \partial_T \left[T \int_V d^4x \langle \Theta \rangle_{\mathscr{O},T} \right] \tag{53}$$

where

$$\langle \Theta \rangle_{\mathscr{O},T} = \frac{\langle \Theta \mathscr{O} \rangle_T}{\langle \mathscr{O} \rangle_T} - \langle \Theta \rangle_T . \tag{54}$$

We can take the operator $\mathscr{O}$ as the Polyakov loop in any representation R and, in the infinite volume case, the volume dependence term drops out. Furthermore, for this choice of $\mathscr{O}$ the thermal expectation value corresponds to the ratio of two true partition functions (Eq. (1)). We will work in the static gauge, in which the Polyakov loop reads $\mathrm{tr}_c(\Omega(\vec{x})) =$

$\mathrm{tr}_c(e^{igA_0(\vec{x})/T})$ ($\mathrm{tr}_c\mathbf{1} = N_c$) and we take conventionally $\vec{x} = 0$. In this case the RGE reads

$$T\partial_T \Delta S_R = \partial_T \left[T \int d^4x \langle \Theta \rangle_{R,T} \right]. \tag{55}$$

This formula provides the specific heat for placing a colour charge in the representation R into the hot medium. Remarkably this equation allows to uniquely determine the entropy after specifying its value at a given temperature.

In the limit of small temperatures we have

$$\Delta S_R(0) = \log D_R, \tag{56}$$

where D_R is the ground state degeneracy in the subspace with a colour source in the representation R at $\vec{x} = 0$. In particular, if the source is in the fundamental representation the lowest state is obtained by screening the source by a single light anti-quark, hence $D_R = 2N_f$ for N_f mass-degenerated light quark flavors (the factor 2 coming from the two spin states of the anti-quark) [13]. The N_c different colour states of the source are combined with those of the light quark to form a colour singlet, so this degree of freedom does not add to the entropy.

In the opposite limit of large temperatures a partition function is just the dimension of the Hilbert space of the system. Hence $Z_R \sim d_R Z_0$ where d_R is the dimension of the representation R, thus

$$\Delta S_R(\infty) = \log d_R. \tag{57}$$

This expresses the fact that at very high temperatures the colour state of the source is not effectively correlated with the medium, so it just counts additively for the entropy.[3] [For the free energy defined from the Polyakov loop normalized as $\langle \mathrm{tr}\Omega \rangle / d_R$, this result takes the form $\Delta S_R(\infty) = 0$.]

3. Heavy source correlator

In the case of a Polyakov loop correlator there appears the separation between conjugate sources, r, which enters in the RGE by including the dimensionless quantity $\log(\mu r)$ and yielding the replacement $T\partial_T \to T\partial_T - r\partial_r$, thus

$$T\partial_T \Delta S_{\bar{R}\otimes R} - r\partial_r \Delta S_{\bar{R}\otimes R} = \partial_T \left[T \int d^4x \langle \Theta \rangle_{\bar{R}\otimes R,T} \right]. \tag{58}$$

It is noteworthy that the previous equation is free from UV divergences, although the integrand itself can display UV divergences at the heavy source points. This is at variance with a RGE for the free energy [37].

Eq. (58) is a standard first order partial linear differential equation which can be solved by the method of characteristics. Assuming that the r.h.s. is known, the equation provides the variation of $\Delta S_{\bar{R}\otimes R}(r,T)$ along paths $rT = \text{constant}$ in the (r,T) plane. Specifically

$$T\partial_T S - r\partial_r S = \phi(r,T) \tag{59}$$

is equivalent to

$$S(r,T) = S(rT/T_0, T_0) + \int_{T_0}^{T} \phi(rT/T', T') \frac{dT'}{T'}. \tag{60}$$

The determination of $S(r,T)$ from the RGE can then be achieved from the knowledge of the entropy along a line visiting all the rT values.

The explicit solution Eq. (60) applies immediately if the entropy is known for all r at some reference temperature T_0. For instance in the $\bar{Q}Q$ case, at low temperatures we expect

$$\lim_{T\to 0} \Delta S_{\bar{Q}Q}(r,T) = \begin{cases} 0 & r < r_c \\ 2\log(2N_f) & r > r_c \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

where r is kept constant as $T \to 0$, and r_c is the string breaking distance. This region of small T_0 is beyond a perturbative calculation. On the other hand, at high temperatures we expect a free theory, with

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \Delta S_{\bar{Q}Q}(r,T) = 2\log(N_c). \tag{62}$$

In this $T_0 \to 0$ limit, $S(rT/T_0, T_0)$ lies in the perturbative region since $r_0 = rT/T_0$ is also small. Nevertheless, likely, any perturbative corrections will be exponentially inflated by the RGE, rendering the determination of $S(r,T)$ for finite (r,T) unreliable.

C. Perturbation theory and RGE improvement

1. Heavy source in the medium

One useful application of the RGE is the derivation of constraints on perturbative results. For instance, the expectation value of the Polyakov loop has been computed to $O(g^4)$ in pQCD in [29, 30, 38] and to $O(g^5)$ in [39]. To this order, the structure of this quantity is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_c} L(T) = 1 + c_0 g^3 + (c_1 + d_1 \log g) g^4 \\ + (c_2 + e_2 \log(\mu/2\pi T)) g^5 + O(g^6), \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

hence, for the entropy at NNLO

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_Q = c_0 g^3 + (c_1 + d_1 \log g) g^4 \\ + (c_2 - e_2 + e_2 \log(\mu/2\pi T)) g^5 + O(g^6). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

The RGE then requires $e_2 = 3\beta_0 c_0$, where

$$\beta(g) = -g^3 \sum_{n\geq 0} \beta_n g^n. \tag{65}$$

A determination of the specific heat

$$\Delta c_Q \equiv T\partial_T \Delta S_Q \tag{66}$$

[3] Recall that a colour source has no other degrees of freedom than colour, by definition. A heavy quark serves as a source, not only because it does not exchange kinetic energy but also because its spin state is fully decoupled and can be disregarded.

by direct derivation with respect to T with fixed μ would produce just the LO result (of $O(g^5)$). However, such a calculation would disregard the fact that some of the T-dependence at higher orders is fixed by the known lower orders through the RGE. The RGE guarantees that to any given order we can differentiate S_Q (with fixed μ) and then take $\mu = \mu(T)$ (e.g. $2\pi T$) or the other way around. The latter method recovers the NNLO result for c_Q. Alternatively, one can work with fixed μ and use the RGE relation

$$T\partial_T \mathscr{O} = \beta(g)\partial_g \mathscr{O}, \tag{67}$$

which holds for any observable in which T is the only physical scale. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_Q = &-3\beta_0 c_0 g^5 - (\beta_0(4c_1 + d_1 + 4d_1\log g) + 3\beta_1 c_0)\, g^6 \\ &+ \Big(5\beta_0\left(-c_2 + e_2 - e_2\log\left(\mu/2\pi T\right)\right) \\ &- \beta_1(4c_1 + d_1 + 4d_1\log g) - 3c_0\beta_2\Big) g^7 + O(g^8). \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Note that the β function to NNLO is needed.

2. *Heavy source correlator*

The perturbative calculation of the Polyakov loop correlator was done by Nadkarni [40] in the regime $T \gg 1/r \sim m_D$ (m_D is the Debye mass) yielding a function of rT for the connected piece. Such dependence vanishes under the RGE for the entropy, up to higher orders in perturbation theory stemming from the energy-momentum tensor contributions. This illustrates explicitly that the RGE of the entropy shift is not sufficient for the full reconstruction of the correlator. In the calculation of Ref. [29], in the regime $1/r \gg T \gg m_D$, a non vanishing contribution to the RGE is explicitly obtained, involving again higher orders. Therefore, in order to test these perturbative results, a different object should be computed, namely, the energy momentum density of two charge conjugated heavy sources at finite temperature. To our knowledge such a calculation has never been carried out in any form in the literature.

IV. HEAVY $\bar{Q}Q$ FREE ENERGY SHIFT IN THE CONFINED PHASE

On physical grounds one expects that below the phase transition the free-energy shifts should be expressed in terms of hadronic colour singlet states, but the precise mathematical formulation of this expectation has never been made clear. We will address in this section the hadronic representation of the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free-energy shifts, based on our findings of Sec. II. A hadronic representation for the Polyakov loop was already derived in [13] based on first principle arguments of QCD. The results of this section generalize that study.

A. $\bar{Q}Q$ spectrum and string breaking

At zero temperature and for fundamental sources, the concept of string tension has played a major role in the formulation and understanding of confinement and colour gauge invariance in the pure Yang-Mills theory. In the zero temperature limit one has for large distances $F(r,0) \sim \sigma r$. In QCD however, the string between fundamental charges breaks generating a $\bar{q}q$ pair from the vacuum which subsequently decays into hadronic states, and so instead $F(r,0) \sim 2\Delta$ where Δ is the mass of the lowest-lying bound state (a hybrid heavy-light meson). The breaking of the string has been studied on the lattice [41] where the avoided crossing between the $\bar{Q}Q$ and the $\bar{M}M \equiv (\bar{Q}q)(\bar{q}Q)$ channels was observed, which is familiar from molecular physics in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation [42]. More generally, the $\bar{Q}Q$ state can decay into any of the many excited states of the meson spectrum, or the baryon spectrum, as long as they have the same quantum numbers as the $\bar{Q}Q$ system.[4]

To analyze the $\bar{Q}Q$ free-energy lattice results in the confined phase we will apply the approximate T-spectral representation in Eq. (5) and the exact r-spectral representation in Eq. (7). Further, we will consider the coupled-channel Hilbert space spanned by $\bar{Q}Q$ (a single state representing the colour sources joined by a string in its ground state) and by pairs $\bar{H}H$ of heavy hadrons. Here H is a colour source screened with light quarks and gluons to form a singly-heavy hadron (meson or baryon), either low-lying or excited. As in [13], half of the heavy hadron spin states are spurious, since the colour source has no spin. When this source is simulated with a very heavy quark, its spin decouples due to QCD heavy quark spin symmetry [43, 44]. The energies are modeled as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\bar{Q}Q}(r) &= -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r + c\,, \\ V_{\bar{H}H}^{(n,m)}(r) &= \Delta_{\bar{H}}^{(n)} + \Delta_{H}^{(m)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where $\Delta_H^{(n)} = M_H^{(n)} - m_Q$ (n-th heavy-light hadron mass minus heavy quark mass). An additive constant c has been included in $V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r)$ to account for the ambiguity in the renormalization of the lattice data (see Sec. III A) In addition, a $\bar{Q}Q \leftrightarrow \bar{H}H$ transition potential W can be present.

In principle one should take the infinite quark mass limit ($m_Q \to \infty$) and compute the corresponding spectrum. This is an ambitious program and as a guide we will content ourselves with using existing extensive quark model calculations containing the main essential features describing singly heavy hadrons (mesons and baryons) and relativity, which proves crucial to account for excited states. Obviously this represents an approximation but these models already produce a trace anomaly for u, d, s quarks, below the phase transition, which can hardly be distinguished by the conventional hadron

[4] The mechanism of decaying into baryons implies the creation of two pairs of light quarks $\bar{q}q$, leading to the formation of two heavy-light baryons with one heavy quark.



FIG. 2: The spectrum as a function of distance and the (avoided) level crossing structure for the considered string-meson mixing scenarios. Single mixing (upper panel) and multiple mixing (lower panel) for the model which includes the Isgur states for heavy-light mesons with a charm quark. We consider the avoided crossing (thick lines) shown in Fig. 4 (up).



FIG. 3: Upper panel: Heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ potential at zero temperature as a function of the separation, obtained by solving Eq. (70) and taking the lattice data shown in the lower panel. The continuous (resp. dashed) black line is the result of the Cornell potential, Eq. (69), with $\sigma = (0.55\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$ (resp. $\sigma = (0.42\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$) and $c = -0.3\,\mathrm{GeV}$. Lower panel: Heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy as a function of the separation. The bands are the lattice data for $N_f = 2+1$ taken from Ref. [33]. The dashed lines represent the result by using Eq. (70) with the spectrum of heavy-light mesons and baryons with a charm quark from the Isgur model of Ref. [46].

resonance gas using the listed PDG values [16]. Besides, one can assess the corresponding uncertainty by comparing the c-hadron versus the b-hadron spectra and this is in accordance with previous analyses for the Polyakov loop, cf. [13, 45]. Moreover, we will disregard gluonic string-like excitations as we expect them to have a gap of the order of $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim 400\,\mathrm{MeV}$.

In Fig. 2 we illustrate the situation for $\sigma = (0.425\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$ and $\alpha = \pi/16$, and using the spectrum of heavy-light hadrons with a charm quark from the Godfrey-Isgur relativized quark model (RQM) [46] up to $\Delta_B = 3.19\,\mathrm{GeV}$. As mentioned, a source of error is that the heavy quarks in nature have a finite mass. In order to estimate this, we have used as well the spectrum of heavy-light hadrons with a b quark from the very same model. Besides reproducing accurately de PDG level density, the RQM satisfactorily describes the Polyakov loop below the crossover and, for the heavy-light system with charm or bottom quarks, yields an exponentially growing spectrum $\rho \sim e^{\Delta_B/T_H}$ with Polyakov-Hagedorn temperature $T_{H,c} = 210\,\mathrm{MeV}$ [47]. Before mixing, all $\bar{H}H$ levels cross with the quenched $\bar{Q}Q$ potential (note the relatively large gaps between lower $\bar{H}H$ levels mixing with the $\bar{Q}Q$ channel). The avoided crossings correspond to $\bar{H}H$ states with the same J^{PC} quantum numbers as the $\bar{Q}Q$, which are also highlighted in Fig. 2 for the RQM using a mixing W to be discussed subsequently.

B. Hadronic representation for the free energy

Relying on the T-spectral representation and adding up all coupled-channel states, *in the absence of mixing* one finds [16]

$$e^{-F(r,T)/T} = e^{-V_{\bar{Q}Q}(r)/T} + \left(\sum_n e^{-\Delta_H^{(n)}/T}\right)^2 . \qquad (70)$$

The last term is just $L(T)^2$ [13]. The simple form of this term follows from neglecting any interaction between the two heavy hadron states (Eq. (69)).

Using the data of Ref. [33] to extract $F(r,T)$ and $L(T)$, one can determine $V_{\bar{Q}Q}(r)$ by inverting Eq. (70). This yields a T-independent potential for $rT \ll 1$ but with a string tension $\sigma = (0.55\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$, almost twice the conventionally accepted

value $(0.42\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$. This is shown in Fig. 3 (up). This disturbing result suggests that determining the string tension or renormalizing the free-energy-shift from short distances (at least in lattice QCD with realistic quark masses and current lattice spacings) may contradict the long distance and well established charmonium phenomenology, based on quenched determinations of the string tension.

In Fig. 3 (down) we display the lattice results of [33] for the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy along with the calculation from Eq. (70) using the Isgur model with charm quarks and $\sigma = (0.55\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$. A better agreement with lattice data is achieved mainly for the lowest temperatures. The disagreement at higher temperatures is in part motivated by the failure of the hadronic representation of the Polyakov loop to describe the lattice data at higher temperatures, cf. [13].

In order to estimate finite mass effects, the above determination of $F(r,T)$ from Eq. (70) can be repeated using instead b quarks in the Isgur spectrum. One finds a maximum relative change in this quantity of 0.22% for $T = 150\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and of 6.17% for $T = 175\,\mathrm{MeV}$. Such maximum deviations take place at large separations as they reflect the slightly different Polyakov loop predicted by the two versions, already noted in Ref. [13]. The variation is larger at the highest temperature, where the model is less reliable. As we explain subsequently, in the extraction of precise quantities like the mixing potential in Sec. IV C, we take the Polyakov loop directly from lattice data rather than from a hadronic model. This fixes the large separation regime, hence finite mass effects are restricted to intermediate distances, and they are significantly smaller than the above estimates.

Remarkably, Eq. (70) is fully consistent with the exact r-representation, Eq. (7). However, when channel mixing is allowed and the modified eigenvalues are introduced in the T-spectral representation, the admissible mixings get constrained by the concavity relations in Eq. (13). For instance, in the low temperature limit the lowest eigenvalue dominates and this implies the conditions $E_0'(r) \geq 0$ and $E_0''(r) \leq 0$ (no such direct constraint applies to the excited states). The two-level setting in Eq. (72) (below) illustrates that W cannot be arbitrary: for large enough $W > 0$ (the sign is conventional) $E_0(r) \sim -W(r)$ and so the concavity relations require $W' \leq 0$ and $W'' \geq 0$.

C. Avoided crossings

When mixing is switched on, we still use an additive model for $V_{\bar{H}H}^{(n,m)}(r)$, i.e., interactions between the two heavy hadrons are neglected, but non vanishing matrix elements arise between different $\bar{H}H$ pairs, as well as transitions between the $\bar{H}H$ states and the $\bar{Q}Q$ state. The simple form in Eq. (70) no longer holds since the Hamiltonian is no longer diagonal in the coupled-channel space. If $V(r)$ denotes the Hamiltonian (a matrix in coupled-channel space) the formula with mixing becomes

$$e^{-F(r,T)/T} = \mathrm{tr}(e^{-V(r)/T}) = \sum_\alpha e^{-E_\alpha(r)/T}, \qquad (71)$$

where $E_\alpha(r)$ denote the corresponding eigen-energies.

To study the phenomenon of avoided crossings in closer detail and paralleling the treatment in [41], let us introduce a mixing just between the $\bar{Q}Q$ state and the lowest-lying $\bar{H}H$ state

$$V(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{4\alpha}{3r} + \sigma r & W(r) & \\ W(r) & 2\Delta & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (72)$$

We only display the two coupled levels of $V(r)$, this matrix being diagonal for the remaining states. To be more precise, the lowest lying $\bar{H}H$ level contains several spin-flavor states. To match the quantum numbers of the $\bar{Q}Q$ state, we only couple the flavorless and spinless $\bar{H}H$ combinations. The uncoupled $\bar{H}H$ states give a contribution as in Eq. (70) and their role is to saturate the Polyakov loop. Therefore their detailed eigen-energies are not needed. The contribution obtained from diagonalization of the two first states in $V(r)$ in Eq. (72) is computed explicitly and the remainder is fixed so that the Polyakov loop value is reproduced.

This simplest mixing model allows to determine $W(r)$ *point by point* from $F(r,T)$. A crucial consistency check of the approach is that W must be T-independent. Clearly, our string-hadronic model will not be valid above the critical temperature except for very small separations. Therefore, we expect that extraction of $W(r)$ from the data will reflect this short distance medium independence. As we can see from Fig. 4 (up) the short distance behaviour is pretty much independent of the temperature *provided* we take the standard value for the string tension, $\sigma = (0.42\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$. Moreover, in the common range for temperatures $T = 150, 175, 200\,\mathrm{MeV}$ an exponential behaviour is obtained (at least above 0.13 fm), in agreement with the functional form proposed in Refs. [48–50]. A fit with $W = ge^{-mr}$ to the lattice data at $T = 150\,\mathrm{MeV}$ with $\alpha = \pi/16$ and $\Delta = 472\,\mathrm{MeV}$ (the lowest Isgur state with a charm quark) produces

$$\sigma = (0.424(14)\,\mathrm{GeV})^2, \qquad g = 0.98(47)\,\mathrm{GeV}, \qquad m = 0.80(38)\,\mathrm{GeV}, \qquad (73)$$

with $\chi^2/\mathrm{dof} = 0.031$. Parameters g and m are highly positively correlated, with a correlation $r(g,m) = 0.983$.

For $\Delta = 553\,\mathrm{MeV}$, which corresponds to the lowest Isgur state with a bottom quark, one obtains $\sigma = (0.424(14)\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$, $g = 1.02(47)\,\mathrm{GeV}$, and $m = 0.77(38)\,\mathrm{GeV}$, with $\chi^2/\mathrm{dof} = 0.031$ and $r(g,m) = 0.983$.

We have checked that including more states in the mixing just reduces the strength of the transition potential W but does not change the exponential behaviour, cf. Fig. 4 (down).

Note that the highest temperature used in this analysis, $T = 200\,\mathrm{MeV}$ is clearly above the physical value of T_c in QCD which is around 150 MeV. The value of T_c in Ref. [33] is obtained in [51, 52] from chiral susceptibilities, namely, $T_c = 146(2)(3)\,\mathrm{MeV}$, in quantitative agreement with the HotQCD collaboration [53]. On the other hand the approach presented in this section is expected to be valid only in the confined regime. In either case, it is reassuring that such an approach



FIG. 4: Upper panel: Value of the mixing W as a function of separation with the two states model of Eq. (72). The dots result from a fit of the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy lattice data of Ref. [33] with $\sigma = (0.42\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$ and not assuming any functional form for $W(r)$. The dashed line corresponds to the best fit to the lowest temperature data assuming the functional form $W = ge^{-mr}$ with σ, g, and m as parameters. The two methods agree and $W(r)$ is temperature independent. Lower panel: $W(r)$ as a function of separation with a model including mixing between string and all the heavy-light charmed meson states of the Isgur model. The effect of the mixing with the new states is to reduce the strength of the potential W, but not its exponential behaviour.



FIG. 5: With the same model as in Fig. 3, value of the entropy (upper panel) and specific heat (lower panel) of two heavy sources as a function of separation with the model in the absence of mixing given by Eq. (70). We consider the spectrum of heavy-light mesons and baryons with a charm quark, obtained with the Isgur model of Ref. [46].

can describe lattice data at sufficiently short distances so close to the phase transition.

The form of the mixing potential is qualitatively similar to that found in Ref. [41] where the correlators of the matrix were computed at zero temperature, but for pion masses around 700 MeV. Since the lattice data used in our determination of $W(r)$ were obtained for physical hadron masses we do not expect the observed exponential fall-off in $W(r)$ to correspond to pion exchange. Indeed, the iterated mechanism, $\bar{H}H \to \bar{Q}Q \to \bar{H}H$ represents meson-exchange, and conversely, any meson-exchange must involve some component of $\bar{Q}Q$ as an intermediate state, but there is no compelling reason to expect that the lighter mesons should be dominant in the transition potential $W(r)$ in the range of distances considered. The value $m = 800\,\mathrm{MeV}$ is in the range of a typical meson mass with no special mass-reducing mechanism (e.g., chiral symmetry in the pion case) at work.

D. Entropy and specific heat

An obvious application of the HRG is the determination of the entropy and the specific heat shifts due to the addition of the extra heavy sources located at a separation distance r, in the confined phase. On the lattice, such a calculation was carried out in Ref. [54], just for the entropy, using the short distance renormalization of the free energy, which we have already analyzed above. There one observes negative entropy (shifts) above the phase transition. Unfortunately, the lattice calculation of Ref. [33], which implements a finite distance renormalization condition, does not provide the entropy; for the available $\Delta T = 25\,\mathrm{MeV}$, a sizable noise in the numerical extraction of the derivative is expected.



FIG. 6: With the same model of Fig. 4 (up), value of the entropy (upper panel) and specific heat (lower panel) of two heavy sources as a function of separation with the two states model of Eq. (72).

In Figs. 5 and 6 we show our model results for the entropy and specific heat shifts in two different cases. In Fig. 5 we display the results in the absence of mixing, Eq. (70), whereas in Fig. 6 we include the avoided crossing effects as discussed in Sec. IV C. Note that both quantities vanish in the limit $r \to 0$. This was expected as in this limit the heavy quark and antiquarks are placed at the same point, so there are no effects of polarization on the thermal medium. At large distances both quantities tend to stabilize. In effect, in this limit $F_{Q\bar{Q}}(r,T) \to 2F_Q(T)$ which is independent of r, and the same applies for any derivatives of the free energy. We remind that our model calculation assumes that the entropy shift can be treated as a true entropy; this is a valid assumption at low temperatures, as discussed repeatedly in this work. With this proviso in mind, we note that both quantities are positive. However, while this entropy is an increasing function with r, this is not always true for the specific heat. The existence of a peak in $c_{Q\bar{Q}}$ at some distance $r = r_*$ might be related to the distance at which string breaking effects take place, being this distance smaller for higher temperatures.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have shown that below the phase transition the partition function of the thermal QCD medium in presence of two conjugated heavy charges can be expressed in terms of pairs of purely hadronic states with a single heavy-quark plus the quark-antiquark static potential of gluodynamics and actually this description provides a gateway to determine the string tension. This implies a non-trivial level avoided crossing structure in the heavy-light hadronic spectrum which successfully describes lattice QCD data. This is done in harmony with a new Källén-Lehmann spectral representation of the Polyakov correlator, whence concavity properties of the free-energy at any temperature can be straightforwardly deduced. In addition, since quite generally any quantum system undergoing a conventional thermal phase transition will be unbounded in some direction, our construction (in which $1/T$ is a spatial size of the rotated system) suggests that *thermal* phase transitions can be regarded as *quantum* phase transitions at zero temperature, the external parameter being the physical temperature T. As it is well known the order of the quantum phase transition is related to the level structure and corresponding avoided crossing pattern in the $\mu_n(T)$ eigenvalues [55]. As an exciting speculation motivated by our exact spectral representation, one may wonder whether the string tension admits an exact definition (complex and perhaps T-dependent) even in unquenched QCD, in the same way that the masses of hadronic resonances admit a precise definition as poles of the S-matrix complex plane. The Dirac-delta term in Eq. (29) remains an exact contribution in gluodynamics, and this term might move to the complex μ-plane in full QCD. Finally, a direct determination of the entropy and specific heat shifts due to separated colour charge conjugated sources from the string-hadron model has been undertaken with the hope to motivate accurate lattice results of both quantities.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and European FEDER funds under contract FIS2014-59386-P, by Junta de Andalucía grant FQM-225. The research of E.M. is supported by the European Union under a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IEF) with project number PIEF-GA-2013-623006.

Appendix A: Derivation of the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation

In this appendix we present the derivation of the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation for the correlation function of the two conjugated Polyakov loops separated a distance r. Let us set one Polyakov loop at $\mathbf{0} = (0,0,0)$ and the other at $\boldsymbol{x} = (x,y,z)$,

$$C(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle 0,T|\mathscr{T}(\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega(\boldsymbol{x})\mathrm{tr}_R\Omega^\dagger(\mathbf{0}))|0,T\rangle. \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

The chronological ordering operator $\mathscr{T}$ indicates that the order of the product must be reversed if $z<0$. Such ordering is automatically implemented in the functional formulation. Three dimensional rotational invariance allows to use $|z|$ instead of z.

Since the Hamiltonian $H_z(T)$ implements the evolution with respect to the coordinate z (regarded as an imaginary time) and $\boldsymbol{P}_\perp = (P_x, P_y)$ implements the spatial translations on the plane (x,y), on can write

$$C(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle 0,T|\mathrm{tr}_R \Omega e^{i\boldsymbol{x}_\perp \boldsymbol{P}_\perp} e^{-|z|H_z(T)} \mathrm{tr}_R \Omega^\dagger |0,T\rangle. \qquad \text{(A2)}$$

Inserting a complete set of eigenstates of $\boldsymbol{P}_\perp$ and $H_z(T)$,

$$C_c(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{n>0} e^{i\boldsymbol{x}_\perp \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp,n}} e^{-|z|w_n(T)} |\langle n,T|\mathrm{tr}_R \Omega^\dagger |0,T\rangle|^2, \qquad \text{(A3)}$$

where we have already removed the disconnected vacuum contribution and $w_n(T)>0$. The result can be expressed as

$$C_c(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \frac{d^2p_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dw}{2\pi} e^{-|z|w + i\boldsymbol{x}_\perp \boldsymbol{p}_\perp} \rho(w, \boldsymbol{p}_\perp), \qquad \text{(A4)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(w,\boldsymbol{p}_\perp) = &\sum_{n>0} (2\pi)^2 \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_\perp - \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp,n}) 2\pi\delta(w - w_n(T)) \\ &\times |\langle n,T|\mathrm{tr}_R \Omega^\dagger |0,T\rangle|^2.\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A5)}$$

Now we can invoke three dimensional Lorentz invariance with respect to $(x,y,-iz)$, or equivalently, three dimensional rotational invariance with respect to (x,y,z), to conclude that $\rho(w,\boldsymbol{p}_\perp)$ is really a function of $w^2 - \boldsymbol{p}_\perp^2$. Thus

$$\rho(w,\boldsymbol{p}_\perp) = \theta(w) \int_0^\infty d\mu\, \tau(\mu) 2\pi\delta(w^2 - \boldsymbol{p}_\perp^2 - \mu^2), \qquad \text{(A6)}$$

where the spectral mass density function $\tau(\mu)$ is non negative.

Inserting this form of ρ in Eq. (A4), and using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \frac{dw}{2\pi} e^{-|z|w} 2\pi\delta(w^2 - w_0^2) &= \frac{e^{-|z|w_0}}{2w_0} \\ = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dp_z}{2\pi} \frac{e^{izp_z}}{p_z^2 + w_0^2}, &\quad w_0 > 0\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A7)}$$

gives (for $w_0 = \sqrt{p_\perp^2 + \mu^2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}C_c(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \int_0^\infty d\mu\, \tau(\mu) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{p}}}{p^2 + \mu^2} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\mu\, \tau(\mu) \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{4\pi r}.\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A8)}$$

Explicit three dimensional rotational invariance is recovered in the final result.

[1] E. Fermi, "High-energy nuclear events," Prog. Theor. Phys. **5**, 570 (1950). doi:10.1143/PTP.5.570

[2] L. D. Landau, "On the multiparticle production in high-energy collisions," Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. **17**, 51 (1953).

[3] W. Florkowski, "Phenomenology of Ultra-Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions," Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (2010) 416 p.

[4] R. Hagedorn, "Statistical thermodynamics of strong interactions at high-energies," Nuovo Cim. Suppl. **3**, 147 (1965).

[5] W. Broniowski, W. Florkowski and L. Y. Glozman, "Update of the Hagedorn mass spectrum," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 117503 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.117503 [hep-ph/0407290].

[6] J. Rafelski, "Melting Hadrons, Boiling Quarks - From Hagedorn Temperature to Ultra-Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions at CERN : With a Tribute to Rolf Hagedorn," doi:10.1007/978-3-319-17545-4

[7] R. Dashen, S. K. Ma and H. J. Bernstein, "S Matrix formulation of statistical mechanics," Phys. Rev. **187**, 345 (1969). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.187.345

[8] Y. Aoki, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabo, "The Order of the quantum chromodynamics transition predicted by the standard model of particle physics," Nature **443**, 675 (2006) doi:10.1038/nature05120 [hep-lat/0611014].

[9] Z. Fodor, "Selected results in lattice quantum chromodynamics," PTEP **2012**, 01A108 (2012). doi:10.1093/ptep/pts033

[10] P. Petreczky, "Lattice QCD at non-zero temperature," J. Phys. G **39**, 093002 (2012) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/39/9/093002 [arXiv:1203.5320 [hep-lat]].

[11] P. Huovinen and P. Petreczky, "QCD Equation of State and Hadron Resonance Gas," Nucl. Phys. A **837**, 26 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2010.02.015 [arXiv:0912.2541 [hep-ph]].

[12] H. T. Ding, F. Karsch and S. Mukherjee, "Thermodynamics of strong-interaction matter from Lattice QCD," Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **24**, no. 10, 1530007 (2015) doi:10.1142/S0218301315300076 [arXiv:1504.05274 [hep-lat]].

[13] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. L. Salcedo, "The Polyakov loop and the hadron resonance gas model," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 151601 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.151601 [arXiv:1204.2424 [hep-ph]].

[14] A. Bazavov and P. Petreczky, "Polyakov loop in 2+1 flavor QCD," Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 9, 094505 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094505 [arXiv:1301.3943 [hep-lat]].

[15] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. L. Salcedo, "Polyakov loop in various representations in the confined phase of QCD," Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 7, 076006 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.076006 [arXiv:1311.2814 [hep-ph]].

[16] E. Ruiz Arriola, L. L. Salcedo and E. Megias, "Quark Hadron Duality at Finite Temperature," Acta Phys. Polon. B **45**, no. 12, 2407 (2014) doi:10.5506/APhysPolB.45.2407 [arXiv:1410.3869 [hep-ph]].

[17] E. R. Arriola, L. L. Salcedo and E. Megias, "Quark properties from the Hadron Resonance Gas," Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. **8**, no. 2, 439 (2015) doi:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.8.439 [arXiv:1505.02922 [hep-ph]].

[18] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. L. Salcedo, "Heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy from hadronic states," Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **93-97**, 270 [Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **270-272**, 170 (2016)] doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2016.02.034 [arXiv:1507.08606

[hep-ph]].

[19] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. L. Salcedo, "Heavy Quark Entropy shift: From the Hadron Resonance Gas to Power Corrections," arXiv:1605.04453 [hep-ph].

[20] L. D. McLerran and B. Svetitsky, "A Monte Carlo Study of SU(2) Yang-Mills Theory at Finite Temperature," Phys. Lett. B **98**, 195 (1981). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90986-2

[21] L. D. McLerran and B. Svetitsky, "Quark Liberation at High Temperature: A Monte Carlo Study of SU(2) Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D **24**, 450 (1981). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.24.450

[22] B. Svetitsky, "Symmetry Aspects of Finite Temperature Confinement Transitions," Phys. Rept. **132**, 1 (1986). doi:10.1016/0370-1573(86)90014-1

[23] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, "Quark confinement and the bosonic string," JHEP **0207**, 049 (2002) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/049 [hep-lat/0207003].

[24] D. V. Widder, "The Laplace Transform," Princeton University Press (1946).

[25] C. Bachas, "Concavity of the quarkonium potential," Phys. Rev. D **33**, 2723 (1986) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.33.2723.

[26] S. Nussinov, "On some positivity properties of the interquark potential in QCD," Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4762 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4762 [hep-th/0010249].

[27] J. E. Kiskis, "T Log R Term In The Quark - Anti-quark Free Energy," Phys. Rev. D **33**, 3733 (1986). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.33.3733

[28] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, "String excitation energies in SU(N) gauge theories beyond the free-string approximation," JHEP **0407**, 014 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/07/014 [hep-th/0406205].

[29] N. Brambilla, J. Ghiglieri, P. Petreczky and A. Vairo, "The Polyakov loop and correlator of Polyakov loops at next-to-next-to-leading order," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074019 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074019 [arXiv:1007.5172 [hep-ph]].

[30] Y. Burnier, M. Laine and M. Vepsalainen, "Dimensionally regularized Polyakov loop correlators in hot QCD," JHEP **1001**, 054 (2010) Erratum: [JHEP **1301**, 180 (2013)] doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2010)054, 10.1007/JHEP01(2013)180 [arXiv:0911.3480 [hep-ph]].

[31] O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, P. Petreczky and F. Zantow, "Heavy quark anti-quark free energy and the renormalized Polyakov loop," Phys. Lett. B **543**, 41 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02415-2 [hep-lat/0207002].

[32] F. Zantow, "On the renormalization of the Polyakov loop," hep-lat/0301014.

[33] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, A. Pasztor, K. K. Szabo and C. Torok, "Static $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}$Q pair free energy and screening masses from correlators of Polyakov loops: continuum extrapolated lattice results at the QCD physical point," JHEP **1504**, 138 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)138 [arXiv:1501.02173 [hep-lat]].

[34] A. Bazavov, P. Petreczky and J. H. Weber, "Polyakov loop correlators and cyclic Wilson loop from lattice QCD," arXiv:1601.08001 [hep-lat].

[35] P. J. Ellis, J. I. Kapusta and H. B. Tang, "Low-energy theorems for gluodynamics at finite temperature," Phys. Lett. B **443**, 63 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01292-1 [nucl-th/9807071].

[36] I. A. Shushpanov, J. I. Kapusta and P. J. Ellis, "Low-energy theorems for QCD at finite temperature and chemical potential," Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2931 (1999) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.59.2931 [nucl-th/9901033].

[37] M. N. Chernodub, "Exact Relations for Thermodynamics of Heavy Quarks," arXiv:1003.3225 [hep-ph].

[38] E. Gava and R. Jengo, "Perturbative Evaluation of the Thermal Wilson Loop," Phys. Lett. B **105**, 285 (1981). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90890-X

[39] M. Berwein, N. Brambilla, P. Petreczky and A. Vairo, "Polyakov loop at next-to-next-to-leading order," Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.3, 034010 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034010 [arXiv:1512.08443 [hep-ph]].

[40] S. Nadkarni, "Nonabelian Debye Screening. 1. The Color Averaged Potential," Phys. Rev. D **33**, 3738 (1986). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.33.3738

[41] G. S. Bali *et al.* [SESAM Collaboration], "Observation of string breaking in QCD," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 114513 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.114513 [hep-lat/0505012].

[42] L. D. Landau, E. Mikhailovich, "Quantum Mechanics Non-relativistic Theory, 2d Ed" Pergamon press (1965).

[43] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, "Weak Decays of Heavy Mesons in the Static Quark Approximation," Phys. Lett. B **232**, 113 (1989). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)90566-2

[44] M. Neubert, "Heavy quark symmetry," Phys. Rept. **245**, 259 (1994) doi:10.1016/0370-1573(94)90091-4 [hep-ph/9306320].

[45] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. L. Salcedo, "The Hadron Resonance Gas Model: Thermodynamics of QCD and Polyakov Loop," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **234**, 313 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2012.12.039 [arXiv:1207.7287 [hep-ph]].

[46] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, "Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.32.189

[47] E. Ruiz Arriola, L. L. Salcedo and E. Megias, "Excited Hadrons, Heavy Quarks and QCD thermodynamics," Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. **6**, no. 3, 953 (2013) doi:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.6.953 [arXiv:1304.2245 [hep-ph]].

[48] I. T. Drummond, "Mixing scenarios for lattice string breaking," Phys. Lett. B **442**, 279 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01222-2 [hep-lat/9808014].

[49] I. T. Drummond and R. R. Horgan, "Lattice string breaking and heavy meson decays," Phys. Lett. B **447**, 298 (1999) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01605-0 [hep-lat/9811016].

[50] I. T. Drummond, "Strong coupling model for string breaking on the lattice," Phys. Lett. B **434**, 92 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00747-3 [hep-lat/9805012].

[51] Y. Aoki, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabo, "The QCD transition temperature: Results with physical masses in the continuum limit," Phys. Lett. B **643**, 46 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.10.021 [hep-lat/0609068].

[52] Y. Aoki, S. Borsanyi, S. Durr, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg and K. K. Szabo, "The QCD transition temperature: results with physical masses in the continuum limit II.," JHEP **0906**, 088 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/06/088 [arXiv:0903.4155 [hep-lat]].

[53] A. Bazavov *et al.*, "The chiral and deconfinement aspects of the QCD transition," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054503 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.054503 [arXiv:1111.1710 [hep-lat]].

[54] O. Kaczmarek, "Heavy-quark free energies, internal-energy and entropy contributions," Eur. Phys. J. C **61**, 811 (2009). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0827-8

[55] L. Carr, "Understanding quantum phase transitions," CRC press (2010).